

Combined monitoring journal example

OUTCOME JOURNAL:					
Period of monitoring and data collection:					
Contributors to Monitoring Update:					
Name of the person(s) who compiled the journal:					
Outcome Challenge:					
Based on your analysis of each progress marker, please indicate the number on the scale that best represents the boundary partner's progress in achieving the progress marker (1 indicates no activity towards the progress marker while 7 represents full achievement of the progress marker)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
Progress markers	What happened (who, how, what, where)	Date (when)	Strategy Used and its Effectiveness	Follow up/corrective measures	Evidence (documents etc.)
1)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
2)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
3)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
4)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
5)					
Not started yet/no activity towards progress marker 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Progress marker reached/strong activity towards progress marker noted					
6) etc...					
What factors and actors (negative and positive) were important to the achievement of progress markers?					

Comment on the unanticipated changes(both positive and negative). Why did this change occur? What evidence do you have to support your conclusions concerning this change?

What organizational strategies or processes were especially helpful to the undertaking of ongoing work? What changes need to be made to your organizational support strategies?

Using the concept of the 'change ladder' please list those changes you see as: 1)most significant; 2) significant; and 3) necessary during this reporting period (and explain why).

What has been learned about the nature of the work undertaken and the processes used to implement it? What recommendation can be made to help improve activities? How will these recommendations be integrated into the ongoing evaluative thinking cycle?